REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding Office Action rejections in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. Applicants would like to express gratitude to the Examiner for indicating present claims 1-4, 6-17, 21-23, 25-30, and 32 as allowable. Claims 5, 18, 24, and 31 have been amended to put the application in better condition for allowance. Claims 33-38 have been cancelled. New claims 39-46 have been added. No new matter has been added.

Specification

The specification has been amended to correct typographical errors and provide clarification. To address the Examiner's requests for clarification, the description of Fig. 1 has been amended by deleting "bar" and inserting "line" and page 13, line 3 has been amended by deleting "died" and inserting "survived".

Claim Rejections- 35 USC §112

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Examiner asserts that because of the open language, "comprising", the nucleotide sequence can have any number of nucleotides on either end of the sequence 211-1722 as shown in SEQ ID NO:1, and as such, can encode amino acids from these unknown regions and therefore the sequence of nucleotides in (b) that are required to encode these unknown amino acids is indefinite. Similarly, the Examiner asserts that embodiment (c) is indefinite because the claimed nucleotide sequence may hybridize to

Application No.: 10/554,408

Response to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

the unknown regions of (a) or (b). Claim 5 has been amended to recite closed

language, "consisting of" and Applicants submit that claim 5 is now definite and believed

to be allowable. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 5

under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite. Claim 18 depends from claim 17 and is directed to a nucleic acid molecule

encoding a peptide or polypeptide capable of eliciting an immune response. The Action

states that the relationship between the "at least one recombinant nucleic acid" of claim

17 and the "at least one further recombinant nucleic acid" of claim 18 is indefinite

concerning whether the molecules are identical or different. Claim 18 has been

amended to recite "The cell of claim 17, which further comprises at least one further

second recombinant nucleic acid molecule..." Thus, Applicants submit that claim 18 is now definite because it is clear that the at least one second nucleic acid molecule of

claim 18 is different from and further to the nucleic acid molecule of claim 17. Claims

19-20, depending from claim 18, should be allowable for at least the reasons above.

Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 and

that claims 18-20 be allowed.

Claim 24 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite. The Examiner asserts that the claim is unclear because a) the genus/species

of the claimed bacterial cell is unknown, and b) its persistence is to be compared to any

unidentified species of Mycobacterium cell whose intracellular persistence varies

greatly. Claim 24 has been amended to depend from claim 15 and specifies that the

cell is a mycobacterium tuberculosis cell. Thus, Applicants submit that claim 24 is now

definite and respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Claim 31 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite. The Action states that the relationship between the first "at least one" and the

second "at least one further" molecule is indefinite concerning whether the molecules

are identical or different. Claim 31 has been amended to recite "further comprising

inserting at least one further second recombinant nucleic acid molecule..." Thus,

Applicants submit that claim 31 is now definite because it is clear that the method of

inserting at least one second nucleic acid molecule of claim 31 is different from and

further to the method of inserting the nucleic acid molecule of claim 30. Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 and that claim 31

be allowed.

Claim Rejections- 35 USC §101

Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for not setting forth any steps in

the process. Applicants submit that claims 33-38 have been cancelled and the rejection

is rendered moot.

New claims 39-46 have been added to further describe an embodiment of the

invention and entry of these claims is respectfully requested. Support for claims 39 and

46 can be found on page 8, lines 6-17, support for claims 41 and 42 can be found on

Application No.: 10/554,408

Response to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

Page 15

page 9, line 11-17, and support for claims 40, and 43-45 can be found on page 9, lines

19-23 of the specification. Claims 39-46 are believed to be allowable at least for

depending from claim 1 which has been indicated as allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks presented herein, all of the

stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated,

or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner

reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections, and that they be

withdrawn. Early and favorable action is awaited.

Applicant believes that a full and complete reply has been made to the

outstanding Office Action and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the

undersigned at the number provided.

If any extension of time is required in connection with the filing of this paper and

has not been requested separately, such extension is hereby requested.

Application No.: 10/554,408 Response to Office Action dated April 8, 2008 Page 16

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees and to credit any overpayments that may be required with respect to this paper to Counsel's Deposit Account No.02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Robert B. Murray Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 22,980

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK 1425 K. Street, Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-6040

RBM/AH 1522465